



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,375	03/05/2002	Nicholas Sotiropoulos	P21,544-C USA	7947	
7590 10/06/2003			EXAMINER		
Synnestvent & Lechner LLP 2600 ARAMARK Tower			FRECH, KARL D		
1101 Market Sti		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Philadelphia, P.	A 19107-2950	2876			
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

<b>=</b> .			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	R&					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
ਹੰ′ Office Action Summary		09/942,375		SOTIROPOULOS ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Karl D Frech		2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rej	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Seriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, within the statutory will apply and will excause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ ·							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4)🛛 (	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consi	deration.						
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.									
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐( Applicatio	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requ	uirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2001</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted	or b)⊡ objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examine	r.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contract of the contract of the prior of	reau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti								
Attachment(	s)								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO					

Application/Control Number: 09/942,375

Art Unit: 2876

1. The preliminary amendments filed 8/29/2001 and 2/11/2002 have been entered as papers numbers 3 and 7 respectively.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,364,871. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Frech whose telephone number is (703) 305 3491. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (703) 305 3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876